TOP SECRET

CHAL-0611 Copy 4 of 6

20 March 1959

MEMORANDUM POR: Deputy Director (Plans)

THROUGH : Acting Chief, Development Projects Division, DD/P

SERJECT : Granger Countermeasures Repeater, Mod 504.

- 1. The results of the flight testing of the Granger equipment last week show that the prototype box is not capable of adequate mechanical performance at high sititude. This perticular set was originally designed and fabricated to operate in an environment of seven (7) psi. The later requirement of operating at the reduced pressure of 4.5 psi has caused repeated equipment maintanction.
- 2. The flight test phase of the prototype equipment is considered complete. May further tests with this box would require major factory work at Granger, would dalay may new program imput a minimum of a month, and would have only a 50% chance of being successful at high altitude. Since each hour of flight test requires the coordination and utilization of two ground radar sites, two F-102 aircraft and at least one F-106 aircraft, at a probable cost of \$10,000 per hour or more, it is not dessed feasible to continue the tests on this piece of gear. The flights at high altitude have caused this "repeater" to breekdown to the point where proper action at low altitude cannot be expected. Ground checks of the equipment do not reveal the source of malfunction. The obvious conclusion, then, is that the reduced pressure in the box is exenting electrical disturbence in the system. The most probable cause is corons in a trensformer or one of the traveling wave tubes. The actual flight conditions of pressure, temperature, and aircraft vibration cannot be accurately duplicated in the Granger sittitude chamber to more closely determine the source of trouble.
- 3. Since no more testing is to be performed on this equipment, it is appropriate that this review of the program be initiated.
- 4. The Granger Repeater was designed to effectively deny tracking information to an attacking sircraft utilizing conical seen, X band transmissions, pulse type radar. The sircraft with radar sets most closely approximating those expected to be encountered in the operational utilization of the U-2, are the F-3k, F-102, and F-106

Page 1 of 4

25 YEAR RE-REVIEW

TOP SECRET

using the "lead-collision" mode. A series of tests was conducted against these sircraft types and in all cases the Granger Mod 50h demonstrated the capability to "brank-lack" or to present erronsous tracking information to a degree to pervent successful lameling of a beam riding missile, rockets, or the fixing of game by the Mitacking pilot. Although these tests were conducted from level flight tail chase mission profiles, the results of the Zoom Clinb tests show that an aircraft with performance capabilities comparable to the F-104 will fly an attacking flight path wall within the coverage of the 1/2 power case of the James. As alrereft with less performence differential employing a tail chase and snap-up intercept technique will also be in the area of maximum james effectiveness when the missile launch should occur. Due to the equipment mainmention, these tests were not conducted at maximum altitude. However, the theory associated with this type of electronic package does not deteriorate the parformance with increase in altitude. There are necessary design changes such as larger gaps between terminals, low pressure terms formers, etc., to obtain functional reliability in the remark presence environment. When the mechanical reliability is accomplished, the mission capability should be identical with the low siting results.

- negate the effect of the jamer. The lead-collision which will negate the effect of the jamer. The lead-collision attack at large "angle off" will place the attacker estade the cone of effective countennesses. This attack method does require the pilot to perform many cockpit measurems simultaneously to successfully accomplish the attack. A similar pilot vestriction occurs when using the tail chase map-up technique at close range and large pitch altitude angles so as to be formative the effective james cone. A more serious system deficiency is that the Granger box will not perform effective jaming when under stimulation by more than one X band radar set. This is a consequence condition of tectics of the attacking aircraft and/or X band tendering by the ground radar site. A preliminary investigation of the enemy tention, however, reduces the probability of such a situation. Generally, the GCI radar is utilizing 5 band radar to direct one aircraft at a time into a tail chase attack pattern. No protestion is offered against infra-red type detectors or sights, infra-red missiles, or beauty seeking missiles. He such protection was satisficated or specified for the system.
- 6. Conclusion: Realising the limitations of the flight test program restrictions requiring all tests to be conducted at 30,000 feet or lower, the following conclusions are submitted:

Pege 2 of 4

CHAL-OSIL Copy 4 of 6

TOP SECRET

× ± α . •

25X1

- a. The Granger Countermeasures Repeater, Mod 504, satisfactorily performs the design mission specification of effective tracking angle jemming of attacking AI reders. The limitation of the jamming is restricted to conical seam, X band, pulse radar sets using a sean rate of 25 cps to approximately 150 cps.
- b. The results to be enticipated at high altitude are identical with those of the test program when a mechanically reliable system has been fabricated.
- c. Granger Associates has a high degree of confidence that a high altitude system can be built in three months.
- d. The system will not be effective when under attack by two or more simultaneous X band radar sets.
- e. The prototype box commet be used for further testing without complete overhaul by the factory. Such work would require approximately one month to complete.
- 7. Recommendations: For future considerations of the Mod 504 program, the following recommendations are made:
 - a. Except for a very limited amount of high altitude testing of a production type system, the Granger Repeater should be terminated as an R & D program. The box should be released to CHALIUE Operations for any further considerations and/or action.
 - b. That a re-evaluation be made of the anticipated attacking aircraft redars to determine the timeliness of this type of countermeasures equipment.
 - o. If production of this equipment is desired, two systems should be built for the high altitude testing prior to the production of the many units desired. A full production contract should be for one james per operational sireraft, plus necessary spares.

8. The following cost and delivery estimates were submitted by of Granger Associates:

1 box \$43,000

2 boxes \$33,500 each

10 boxes \$25,700 each

Page 3 of 4

CHAL-0611 Copy of 6



These costs do <u>not</u> include make parts, the outside container produced by Lockheed, installation, or field service representatives. The delivery of the james would be as follows:

Let ben

3 months

2nd box

2 per menth therest ter

SIGNET_{25X1}

MALJOT, USAF

Distribution:

25X1

1 - Addressee

8 - V/C-DPD-DD/P

3 - Ops DED-DE/P 4 - D & P Subject DFD-DE/

5 - D & P Chrono 6 - Lt. Col

DPD-ID/P:RDE/sb

Pegge 4 of 4